UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,693	07/13/2007	Roland Ringstrom	1027651-000532	2954
21839 7590 10/29/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEYANDRIA, VA 22212 1404			IINER	
			SIMONE, TIMOTHY F	
ALEXANDRIA	DRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/591,693	RINGSTROM, R	OLAND			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy F. Simone	3742				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Timothy F. Simone</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mr. Kemeny</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 24 October 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Sweden 514,560 to Palm</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed preferred layout for the specification with headings, discussed language to overcome 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection and discussed possible amendments to claim 1 reciting cooling conduit (20), etc. Examiner will reconsider when amendment is filed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Timothy F. Simone/						